

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN  
CONTACTS AT REG 14

Name	Address	Representation	Neighbourhood Plan Group (NPG) response	Alterations to Neighbourhood Plan (NP)										
<b>Statutory Consultees</b>														
Homes and Communities Agency	<a href="mailto:mail@homesandcommunities.co.uk">mail@homesandcommunities.co.uk</a>	No comments received		None										
Natural England	<a href="mailto:consultation@naturalengland.org.uk">consultation@naturalengland.org.uk</a>	Could not find evidence to support the choice of site allocations, particularly concerned about possible impact on the AONB.	The Sites Assessment Report deals with these concerns. It should be referenced within the plan along with a brief summary of its conclusions explaining the site choices made.	Amend plan to include reference to the Sites Assessment Report along with a brief summary of its conclusions explaining the site choices made.										
Historic England	<a href="mailto:David.Stuart@historicengland.org.uk">David.Stuart@historicengland.org.uk</a> ;	More evidence needed to support the choice of development sites in view of their proximity to listed buildings and the conservation area.	The sites chosen are considered to be able to be developed without harming local heritage assets. An evidence paper sets out more detail concerning the choice of sites.	Amend plan to give clearer weight to the need for the sites' development to respect the nearby conservation area and heritage assets in general.										
Highways England	<a href="mailto:info@highwaysengland.co.uk">info@highwaysengland.co.uk</a> and/or <a href="mailto:planningsw@highwaysengland.co.uk">planningsw@highwaysengland.co.uk</a>	The plan is unlikely to have any adverse impact on the Strategic Road Network.	Comment welcomed	None										
Marine management (DEFRA)	<a href="mailto:consultations.mmo@marinemanagement.org.uk">consultations.mmo@marinemanagement.org.uk</a> ;	No comments received	Parish looks after the river and moorings, owned by the Duchy of Cornwall.	None										
Environment Agency (Sustainable Places team)	<a href="mailto:SPDC@environmentagency.gov.uk">SPDC@environmentagency.gov.uk</a> / <a href="mailto:enquiries@environment-agency.gov.uk">enquiries@environment-agency.gov.uk</a> ;	Support the vision and welcome the policy to ensure that flood risk is not increased. National policy is to avoid the floodplain but where development in the floodplain is necessary it must be designed to be safe over its lifetime. Support the policy to protect green spaces and the plan should add that green infrastructure provides benefits not only for recreation and amenity but also for wildlife, flood risk management and water quality. Particularly support maintaining green space alongside the Avon, which will achieve such benefits. The plan should state that new development should cause no deterioration of the Avon's Good Ecological Status with regard to the objectives of the Water Framework Directive.	Support and guidance welcomed. The plan will be augmented accordingly.	Amend the plan to refer to the need for development to be designed to be flood safe and not cause flood problems elsewhere. Also add further reference to the wider benefits of green infrastructure and to the Avon's Good Ecological Status.										
NHS Devon Clinical Commissioning Group	<a href="mailto:d-cg.corporateservices@nhs.net">d-cg.corporateservices@nhs.net</a>	No comments received		None										
Devon County Planning	<a href="mailto:planning@devon.gov.uk">planning@devon.gov.uk</a>	Passed consultation on to relevant departments.	Support welcomed	None										
DCC Highways	<a href="mailto:planning@devon.gov.uk">planning@devon.gov.uk</a>	No plans for traffic calming and without evidence of speeding or accidents it is unlikely that S106 contributions could be required.	PC keeps an eye on local traffic conditions. This is still an aspiration of the local community. Retain in the plan.	None										
County Councillor Rufus Gilbert	<a href="mailto:Rufus.Gilbert@devon.gov.uk">Rufus.Gilbert@devon.gov.uk</a> >	Lent his support in seeking response from DCC Education.	Support welcomed	None										
DCC Education		The school occupies a constrained site and is undersized when compared to DfE guidance. Expansion would be very difficult given the site constraints and, although there are currently no expansion plans, on balance it would be beneficial for the plan to set aside additional land.	This confirms the approach taken in the plan and is welcome support.	None in response to this representation, but the proposed settlement boundary will be retracted to exclude the site, further strengthening its safeguarding for school use only.										
Aveton Gifford School	<a href="mailto:admin@avetongifford.devon.sch.uk">admin@avetongifford.devon.sch.uk</a>	Update the names of the head teacher and administrator.	Noted	None										

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN  
CONTACTS AT REG 14

SHDC NP team	<a href="#">Sarah Packham</a>	Passed consultation on to relevant departments.	Support welcomed	None														
SHDC Planning		Full response received. This is available as a separate document.	Support and guidance welcomed	The various changes made to the plan as a result of SHDC's comments are shown in the separate document.														
South Devon AONB	<a href="mailto:roger_english@southdeveonaonb.org.uk">roger_english@southdeveonaonb.org.uk</a>	None, so phoned Roger English. He is 'firmly with NP in rejecting the old cricket pitch (site 11 in the Sites Assessment Report) as a site for development.'	Noted and accepted. The site is elevated and prominent in the AONB and other available sites are better suited and better related to the village.	None														
South Hams Community & Voluntary Services	<a href="mailto:cvs@southhams cvs.org.uk">cvs@southhams cvs.org.uk</a>	No comments received		None														
South West Water	<a href="mailto:developerservicesplanning@southwestwater.co.uk">developerservicesplanning@southwestwater.co.uk</a>	No concerns in supporting the anticipated levels of housing proposed.	This is welcomed, although the parish council will also be vigilant in seeking to ensure that historic sewage and flooding problems do not recur as a result of new development.	None														
Wales and West Utilities (Gas)	<a href="mailto:enquiries@walesandwestutilities.co.uk">enquiries@walesandwestutilities.co.uk</a>	No comments received	Aveton Gifford is not on Town Gas.	None														
T+A21three mobile	<a href="mailto:janeevans@three.co.uk">janeevans@three.co.uk</a>	No comments received		None														
Vodafone and O2	<a href="mailto:EMF.Enquiries@ctil.co.uk">EMF.Enquiries@ctil.co.uk</a>	No comments received		None														
BT Openreach	<a href="mailto:newsitereceptiongeneral@openreach.co.uk">newsitereceptiongeneral@openreach.co.uk</a>	No comments received		None														
EE	<a href="mailto:public.affairs@ee.co.uk">public.affairs@ee.co.uk</a>	No comments received		None														
Coal UK	<a href="mailto:planning_consultation@coal.co.uk">planning_consultation@coal.co.uk</a>	No comments received		None														
<b>Local residents</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>Representation</b>	<b>Neighbourhood Plan Group (NPG) response</b>	<b>Alterations to Neighbourhood Plan (NP)</b>														
Felicity Furlong	<a href="#">response form at reg14</a>	Seeking more emphasis of the strategic importance of conserving the river and preserving the tidal road.	The Parish Council is already very active in these matters and the plan could say more about their significance.	Amend plan to say more about the significance of the river and the importance of the tidal road.														
Peter and Bron Kirby	<a href="#">response letter at reg 14</a>	Could Brexit undermine neighbourhood plans? Ought the plan to embrace concerns about the global environmental crisis?	The NP must meet EU obligations and it is anticipated that post Brexit there will be transitional arrangements to ensure continued protection of relevant considerations. The plan does address environmental concerns both locally and globally through several of its policies. In particular Policy AG2 requires carbon reduction.	None														
Zoe Woodham	<a href="#">response form at reg14</a>	Provide bus turning at each end of the village so that buses do not have to go through the main street.	Referred to PC who have considered this several times and decided it would not work.	None														

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN  
CONTACTS AT REG 14

Luke Danby	<a href="#">response form at reg14</a>	Opposed to any expansion of the settlement boundary and would prefer small developments inside the existing boundary. Also favour pedestrian priority on the tidal road.	It is considered that there is insufficient scope inside the existing settlement boundary to accommodate the required level of development on small sites alone. Neither could small infill sites provide for the needed car park. Pedestrian priority on the tidal road seems impractical.	None														
Stewart Watts	<a href="#">response form at reg14</a>	Concerned that sewerage infrastructure is not adequate.	SWW envisage no difficulties with the anticipated level of proposed development. The PC will monitor the situation. Measures are planned to address historic difficulties.	None														
<b>Adjoining Parishes and Councils</b>																		
Name	Address	Representation	Neighbourhood Plan Group (NPG) response	Alterations to Neighbourhood Plan (NP)														
Loddiswell	<a href="mailto:loddiswellparishclerk@gmail.com">loddiswellparishclerk@gmail.com</a>		No responses or requests from nearby parishes	None														
Churchstow, Loddiswell	<a href="mailto:reception@kingsbridge.gov.uk">reception@kingsbridge.gov.uk</a>		No responses or requests from nearby parishes	None														
Thurlston	<a href="mailto:info@thurstonparishcouncil.gov.uk">info@thurstonparishcouncil.gov.uk</a>		No responses or requests from nearby parishes	None														
Kingsbridge	<a href="mailto:reception@kingsbridge.gov.uk">reception@kingsbridge.gov.uk</a>		No responses or requests from nearby parishes	None														
Bigbury	<a href="mailto:clerk.bigburypc@gmail.com">clerk.bigburypc@gmail.com</a>		No responses or requests from nearby parishes	None														
<b>Businesses in the parish</b>																		
Name	Address	Response	Consideratons for the Neighbourhood Plan Group (NPG)	Alterations to Neighbourhood Plan (NP)														
Fishermans rest PH	letter--Mark and Athenia Capewell---TQ74JL	Verbal response. Keep supporting the pub!		None														
West Country Stoves	Letter--Mr Andrew TQ74NT	No response		None														
RT Farm and Ind. Buildings Ltd	letter --Richard Triggs TQ74NT	No response		None														
Thatches Holiday Village	letter --PL2105B	No response		None														
The Village Shop	letter--Peta Harper TQ74LL	No formal response. Shop is part community shop.	PC very involved so already show needs in the NP	None														
Aveton Gifford Pre-School	letter --Mandy Tucker TQ74JE	No response.		None														
<b>Land-owners</b>																		
Name	Address	Representation	Neighbourhood Plan Group (NPG) response	Alterations to Neighbourhood Plan (NP)														
AJ IRISH ESTATES	<a href="mailto:Michael.tucker1@btconnect.com">'Michael Tucker' (michaeltucker1@btconnect.com)</a>	Estate owns sites 1a, 1b, 1c and 4. 'Industrial Units' on 1a not required.	Have expressed a wish to work together. Discussions are ongoing.	Remove the industrial units from the site proposal.														
Sue Bain	letter	Remove 'only' from the land she owns which is protected for school expansion.	Delete the word "only" from the policy.	Delete the word "only" from policy AG7.3 and exclude the site from the settlement boundary.														
Jacky Harmer	letter	Owners of site 2	Awaiting plan before proceeding.	None														

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN  
CONTACTS AT REG 14

<b>Bantham Estates</b>	letter-Nicholas Johnston-OX74AH	Meeting held in 2018 – no further responses received.	His suggestions have been considered and some are incorporated in the plan.	None														
<b>Memorial Hall AG</b>	letter--Jan Sorrell - Secretary	No reply, but the PC is aware of the needs expressed by the Hall committee and of the new Hall proposals.	New hall provision will be assisted greatly by the new car park proposed in plan.	None														
<b>Farms in the parish</b>																		
<i>Name</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>Representation</i>	<i>Neighbourhood Plan Group (NPG) response</i>	<i>Alterations to Neighbourhood Plan (NP)</i>														
<b>A C F Read</b>	Challons Combe Farm, TQ7 4NQ	No reply	None															
<b>F Widger</b>	Cumery Farm, TQ7 4NN	No reply	None															
<b>J and H Hosking</b>	Higher Hatch Farm, TQ7 4AJ	No reply	None															
<b>J D Hendy and Sons</b>	Knowle Farm, TQ7 4NJ	No reply	None															
<b>R W Ayre</b>	Hingston Borough Farm, TQ7 4NG	No reply	None															
<b>S A Winzer</b>	Green Park Farm, TQ7 4EN	No reply	None															
<b>A H W Ponting</b>	Grove Park, Modbury PL21 0SX	No reply	None															
<b>Mark Welch</b>	Stockadon Farm, Loddiswell TQ7 4EQ	No reply	None															
<b>Graham Winzer</b>	Lixton Farm, Loddiswell TQ74EG	No reply	None															
<b>Elizabeth Sercombe</b>	Idston Farm, Loddiswell TQ7 4	No reply	None															
<b>Mr and Mrs Newsham</b>	The Mill House, Aveton Gifford TQ7 4	No reply	None															