

Notes on meeting between Steven Tucker and AG NP

Sunday 1st Sept. 2019
10am At Homefield.

Present: Steven Tucker (ST)
David Davis-Berry (DDB)
Peter Smith (PS)

1) ST emphasised that he was one of 7 who owned the estate and that anything discussed would have to be agreed by the others. (Michael Tucker was away in France)

2) DDB and PS outlined the main features of the NP. How the proposed development on Tucker Land (Millhaye and Churchfield) in the NP, would place these sites(site 1A and 1B) into the village boundary, with go ahead to build 'about' 25 houses including some affordables.

That reg. 14 was now over and only minimal changes could be made to the NP. Anything major might delay the plan for many months, and we wanted to avoid this.

That achieving a new car park (at site 4) was at the heart of these proposals..

3) ST assured the meeting that they were aware of the village need and wish for this car park for the Village, the School, and the proposed new Village Hall.

ST hoped that this car park (Site 4), would be called the **Jim Irish Mem. Car Park**. Or sim. In memory of the late Jim Irish.

4) Discussion around siting and size followed, and it was agreed that a Car park should be:

-for up to 30-50 spaces, (50 indicated in the NP, so perhaps 20 held back?)

-situated on the 'flood plain' area, at the SE corner of Millhaye(site 4).

-raised by spoil from site preparation, with a distinct slope for drainage and a permeable topping.

-ST thought it might be fairly easily constructed, by Tucker Estates, along with a new entrance to the Millhaye field.

-final details and costs /some shared/ by negotiation with the Parish Council.

5) ST told the meeting that at the moment they had hoped to put only 5 houses on Churchfield (1C) to avoid 106, and a larger number on Millhaye (including any required affordables).

6) ST said he thought there was no interest in the section marked for small industrial units (1A)

DDB said the NP could make a change, to make sure this was only an 'option' on this plan with no requirement to build them should they not be wanted or an alternative site found.

DDB and PS hoped that a site entrance could be achieved at this point (1A) off the old layby to reduce heavy vehicles going through the village esp. to site 1C during construction.

7) DDB and PS explained our NP need to show intention/commitment to provide this **Jim Irish** car park since our advisor had said it might prove difficult to achieve.

ST said he understood, and it was agreed that DDB would provide a suggested letter to the NP plan group that ST could use to show this intent to provide the car park for the Parish Council via the NP.

Meeting closed at 11. 10